



B1 etw

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicant:)	
)	
J. Sam CURETON et al.)	Confirmation No.: 8422
)	
Application No.: 09/044,426)	Group Art Unit: 3629
)	
Filed: March 19, 1998)	Examiner: T. Dixon
)	
For: SATELLITE BASED GLOBAL)	
POSITIONING SYSTEM FOR FEEDLOT)	
COMPUTER NETWORK AND METHOD)	

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
220 20th Street S.
Customer Window, MS Non-Fee Amendment
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir:

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF DRAWING INCONSISTENCY WITH SPECIFICATION

In response to the Notice of Drawing Inconsistency with Specification dated February 1, 2005, Applicants respectfully submit that the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office is in error. The Notice of Drawing Inconsistency with Specification asserts that Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2 are listed in the Brief Description of the Drawings in the specification but not contained in the Drawings. Applicants have accessed the USPTO electronic version of the above-identified application via PAIR and have determined that Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2 are not present in the USPTO electronic version of the above-identified application. However, Applicants respectfully assert that Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2 were in the originally filed application and were examined by the Examiner.

Enclosed is a postcard receipt showing the March 19, 1998 filing of the above-identified application with 21 sheets of drawings. Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2 are each on their own separate pages. Thus, Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2 were present during the March 19, 1998 filing of the above-identified application.


Evidence of the Examiner examining Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2 can be seen in the March 9, 2001 Office Action in the above-identified application. More specifically, the Examiner required that the Brief Description of the Drawings in the Specification be amended to refer to Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2. In other words, the section of the specification that the Notice of Drawing Inconsistency with Specification refers to is the section in which the Examiner required the amendment of "Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2."

For these reasons, Applicants respectfully assert that Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2 were in the originally filed application and were examined by the Examiner. Further, Applicants presume that Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2 were lost when the paper copy of the above-identified application was converted into an electronic file. Accordingly, Applicants provide herein replacement sheets of Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2.

U.S. Patent No. 6,032,084 is a parent application of the above-identified application. The Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2 that should be in the above-identified application are also in U.S. Patent No. 6,032,084. Thus, the replacement sheets of Figures 2B3-1 & 2B3-2 enclosed herein are the same as those found in U.S. Patent No. 6,032,084.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: 
Donald L. Monin, Jr.
Reg. No. 47,256

Dated: March 1, 2005

Customer No.: 009629
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: 202-739-7000
Facsimile: 202-739-3001



Honorable Commissioner of Patents 330 U.S. PTO
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/044426

Sir:



03/19/98

THE RETURN OF THIS CARD PROPERLY STAMPED WILL
ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF TRANSMITTAL CONTINUATION-IN-PART
APPLN.; PATENT APPLN. (71 PP SPEC, 7 PP CLAIMS, 1 ABSTRACT PAGE);
TWENTY ONE (21) SHEET OF DRAWINGS; CHECK - \$395; CERT. OF EXPRESS
MAIL SERVICE, POSTAGE PREPAID, LABEL NO. EM019461287US DATED
MARCH 19, 1998.

Applicant: CURETON ET AL.
Serial No.: UNASSIGNED
Filed: MARCH 19, 1998
For: SATELLITE BASED GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM FOR
FEEDLOT COMPUTER NETWORK AND METHOD
Attorney: JAE H. KIM
File: 0972-011I EM019461287US
Mailed: MARCH 19, 1998 Mailer: MA

BEST AVAILABLE COPY